|  |
| --- |
| DATA SERVICES CENTERUniversity of Minnesota, Morris |

|  |
| --- |
| **Fall Lake Township, Minnesota** |

|  |
| --- |
|  2010 |

|  |
| --- |
| Demographic[[1]](#footnote-1) |
| Total Population | 549 |
| Median Age | 56.5 |
| Male Population | 285 |
| Female Population | 264 |
| Ethnicity: White | 541 |
| Ethnicity: Black or African American | 1 |
| Ethnicity: Asian | 1 |
| Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino | 2 |
| Total Households | 280 |

|  |
| --- |
| Local Employment Dynamics[[2]](#footnote-2) |
| Percent in Labor Force | 42.8% |
| Percent Employed[[3]](#footnote-3) | 42.0% |
| Unemployment Rate | 1.7% |

|  |
| --- |
| Commuting to Work (percent)[[4]](#footnote-4) |
| Car, Truck, or Van | 79.5% |
| Drove alone | 72.6% |
| Carpooled | 4.0% |
| Public Transportation (excluding taxicab) | 0.0% |
| Walked | 8.8% |
| Bicycle | 0.0% |
| Taxicab, motorcycle, or other means | 0.0/5 |
| Worked at home | 11.6% |
| Worked in county of residence | 30.7% |
| Worked outside county of residence | 69.3% |
| Mean travel time to work (minutes) | 16.8 |

|  |
| --- |
| Housing Occupancy (percent)[[5]](#footnote-5) |
| Total Householding Units | 917 |
| Occupied Housing Units | 280 (30.5%) |
| Vacant Housing Units | 637 (69.5%) |
| For Rent | 11 (1.2%) |
| Rented, not occupied | 3 (0.3%) |
| For sale only | 22 (2.4%) |
| Sold, not occupied | 0 |
| For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use | 593 (64.7%) |
| All other vacants | 8 (0.9%) |
| Homeowner vacancy rate | 8.3 |
| Rental vacancy rate | 22.0 |

|  |
| --- |
| Education (percent)[[6]](#footnote-6) |
|  Less than 9th grade | 1.8% |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 1.8% |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 17.2% |
| Some college, no degree | 20.3% |
| Associate’s degree | 11.6% |
| Bachelor’s degree | 21.6% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 25.6% |
| High school graduate or higher | 96.3% |
| Bachelor’s degree or higher | 47.2% |

|  |
| --- |
| Agriculture[[7]](#footnote-7) |
| Number of Farms | NA |
| Land in Farms | NA |
| Average Size of Farm | NA |
| Total value of agricultural products sold | NA |
| Value of crops including nursery and greenhouse | NA |
| Value of livestock, poultry, and their products | NA |

|  |
| --- |
| Farmland Sales[[8]](#footnote-8) |
| Number of Sales | 1 |
| Average Parcel Size | 40 |
| Sum of Parcel Size | 40 |
| Minimum Parcel Size | 40 |
| Maximum Parcel Size | 40 |

|  |
| --- |
| Timberland Sales[[9]](#footnote-9) |
| Number of Sales | 1 |
| Average Parcel Size | 40 |
| Sum of Parcel Size | 40 |
| Minimum Parcel Size | 40 |
| Maximum Parcel Size | 40 |

|  |
| --- |
| Land Values[[10]](#footnote-10) |
| Total acres | 11981 |
| Total estimated value | 65180300 |
| Estimated value per acre | 5440 |

|  |
| --- |
| Property Taxes (2009)[[11]](#footnote-11) |
|  | Taxable Market Value | Local Net Tax Capacity |
| Farm Homestead | 0 | 0 |
| Farm Non Homestead | 0 | 0 |
| Timber | 10,491,151 | 102,959 |
| Noncommercial Seasonal Recreation | 179,440,047 | 1,785,018 |
| Residential Homestead | 105,739,235 | 1,074,420 |
| Residential Non Homestead | 29,968,893 | 300,184 |
| Apartments | 168,306 | 2,065 |
| Commercial Seasonal Recreation | 20,090,500 | 158,462 |
| Commercial  | 777,799 | 13,076 |
| Industrial | 0 | 0 |
| Utilities | 9,130,010 | 177,730 |
| Railroads | 0 | 0 |
| Other  | 0 | 0 |
| Personal | 807,950 | 8,158 |
| Total | 356,613,892 | 3,622,071 |

|  |
| --- |
| Soils Data[[12]](#footnote-12) |
| Erosion Water |
| 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | Total |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Erosion Wind |
| 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | Total |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
|  |
| Crop Production Index |
| 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | Total |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Aspen Production Index |
| 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | Total |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

Wind and water erosion tables reflect the number of acres with the above listed tonnage of erosion per acre.

Crop production index display the number of acres that fall within the above listed crop growing potential; the higher the growing potential, the better that land is for farming.

Aspen production index display the number of acres that fall within the above listed aspen growing potential; the higher the growing potential, the better that land is for growing aspen trees or forests.
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